On the automorphisms of the Drinfel'd double of a Borel Lie subalgebra

Michaël Bulois and Nicolas Ressayre

On the automorphisms of the Drinfel'd double of a Borel Lie subalgebra

Michaël Bulois and Nicolas Ressayre

Abstract

Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple Lie algebra with a Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b} . Consider the semidirect product $I\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b} \ltimes \mathfrak{b}^*$, where the dual \mathfrak{b}^* of \mathfrak{b} is equipped with the coadjoint action of \mathfrak{b} and is considered as an abelian ideal of $I\mathfrak{b}$. We describe the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b})$ of the Lie algebra $I\mathfrak{b}$. In particular we prove that it contains the automorphism group of the extended Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{g} . In type A_n , the dihedral subgroup was recently proved to be contained in $\operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b})$ by Dror Bar-Natan and Roland van der Veen in [1] (where $I\mathfrak{b}$ is denoted by $I\mathfrak{u}_n$). Their construction is ad hoc and they asked for an explanation which is provided by this note. Let \mathfrak{n} denote the nilpotent radical of \mathfrak{b} . We obtain similar results for $\overline{I\mathfrak{b}} = \mathfrak{b} \ltimes \mathfrak{n}^*$ that is both an Inönü-Wigner contraction of \mathfrak{g} and the quotient of $I\mathfrak{b}$ by its center.

1. Introduction

Given any complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{a} , one can consider the semi-direct product $I\mathfrak{a} := \mathfrak{a} \ltimes \mathfrak{a}^*$. where \mathfrak{a}^* is the dual of \mathfrak{a} , considered as an abelian ideal, and \mathfrak{a} acts on \mathfrak{a}^* via the coadjoint action. The pair $(I\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{a})$ is an example of the Drinfeld double construction with zero cobracket.

As mentioned in [1], for applications in knot theory and representation theory, the most important case is when $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}$ is the Borel subalgebra of some simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . It is precisely the situation studied here. In addition to [1], several examples of these algebras appear with variations in the literature. In [8], Nappi-Wittney use the case when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ in conformal field theory. Several authors also consider $I\mathfrak{b} := \mathfrak{b} \ltimes \mathfrak{n}^*$ where \mathfrak{n} is the derived subalgebra of \mathfrak{b} . It is the quotient of $I\mathfrak{b}$ by its center. Note that $\mathfrak{b} \ltimes \mathfrak{n}^*$ is a contraction of \mathfrak{g} (see Section 2.1 for details). When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$, this algebra appears in an associative setting in Knutson and Zinn-Justin's work [6], see below. In [4, 3], Feigin uses $\mathfrak{b} \ltimes \mathfrak{n}^*$ in order to study degenerate flag varieties for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$. For a general semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , in [9], Panyushev and Yakimova study the invariants of $\mathfrak{b} \ltimes \mathfrak{n}^*$ under the action of their adjoint group. Finally, in [10, 11], similar considerations are studied replacing \mathfrak{b} by an arbitrary parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .

The aim of this note is to give new interpretations of $I\mathfrak{b}$ and $\overline{I\mathfrak{b}}$ in the language of Kac-Moody algebras and to completely describe the automorphism groups of $I\mathfrak{b}$ and $\overline{I\mathfrak{b}}$.

Before describing this group, we introduce some notation. Let r denote the rank of \mathfrak{g} and G the adjoint group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Let B be the Borel subgroup of G with \mathfrak{b} as



Figure 1: Extended Dynkin diagrams and their automorphisms

Lie algebra. Consider two abelian additive groups: the quotient $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}$ and the space $\mathcal{M}_r(\mathbb{C})$ of $r \times r$ -matrices.

An important ingredient is the extended Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{g} . On Figure 1, these diagrams and their automorphism groups are shortly recalled (see Section 2.2). The notation $D_{(\ell)}$ stands for the dihedral group of order 2ℓ , not to be confused with the Dynkin diagram of type D_{ℓ} .

The following is the main result of the paper (see also Theorem 16 below).

Theorem 1. The neutral component $\operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b})^{\circ}$ of the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b})$ of the Lie algebra $I\mathfrak{b}$ decomposes as

$$\mathbb{C}^* \ltimes \left((B \ltimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}) \times \mathcal{M}_r(\mathbb{C}) \right).$$

The group of components $\operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b})/\operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b})^{\circ}$ is isomorphic to the automorphism group of the extended Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{g} and can be lifted to a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b})$.

The details of how these subgroups act on $I\mathfrak{b}$ are given in Section 3. Section 4 explains how the semidirect products are formed.

One of the amazing facts is that the extended Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{g} plays a crucial role in Aut($I\mathfrak{b}$). On one hand, we explain this by constructing the extended Cartan matrix of \mathfrak{g} in terms of $I\mathfrak{b}$ in Section 3.1. On the other hand, this diagram is the Dynkin diagram of the untwisted affine Lie algebra constructed from the loop algebra of \mathfrak{g} . A second explanation is given by Theorem 4 that realizes $I\mathfrak{b}$ as a subquotient of the affine Lie algebra associated to \mathfrak{g} .

More generally, $I\mathfrak{b}$ is a degeneration $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon}_+$ with $\mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon}_+ \cong \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ for $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. In Section 2, we explain how to interpret this degeneration in the affine Lie algebra setting. We also study the possible lifting of $\theta \in \operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}})$ to $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon}_+)$, see Section 3.5.

Link with other works. In [6], Knutson and Zinn-Justin defined a degeneration \bullet of the standard associative product on $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let \mathfrak{b} denote the set of upper triangular matrices. Identifying the vector space $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $\mathfrak{b} \times \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})/\mathfrak{b}$ in a natural way one gets

$$(R, L) \bullet (V, M) = (RV, RM + LV),$$

for any $R, V \in \mathfrak{b}$ and $L, M \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})/\mathfrak{b}$. The Lie algebra of the group $(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), \bullet)^{\times}$ of invertible elements of this algebra is $\mathfrak{b} \ltimes \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})/\mathfrak{b}$, where the product is defined similarly to that of $I\mathfrak{b}$. Note also that a cyclic automorphism appears in [6]. It corresponds to the "unexpected cyclic automorphism" of [1] and, in our setting, to the cyclic automorphism of the extended Dynkin diagram of type A_{n-1} . Moreover [6, Proposition 2], which realizes $(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), \bullet)$ as a subquotient of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}[t])$, is similar to our Theorem 4.

A generalization of $I\mathfrak{b}$ is the following: fix a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and a parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{g} . Let $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-}(\cong \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{p})$ be the nilradical of a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} opposite to \mathfrak{p} . Then $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{p}} := \mathfrak{p} \ltimes \mathfrak{n}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-}$ is also a degeneration of \mathfrak{g} . In the study of semi-invariants of $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ some data linked with the extended Dynkin diagram also come up in [13, Theorem 5.5] (Borel case) and in [11, Proposition 5.2.1] (general case). In type A_{n-1} , standard parabolics are characterized by an ordered partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ of n. Transforming λ into $\mu := (\lambda_k, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{k-1})$, the cyclic action of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ coming from the symmetries of the extended Dynkin diagrams described in [1] allows to write $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{p}_{\lambda}} \cong \mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mu}}$. This explains many symmetries noted in [11], see (3.9) in *loc. cit.*.

Acknowledgements. We are very grateful to Dror Bar Natan for useful discussions that had motivated this work. The authors are partially supported by the French National Agency (Project GeoLie ANR-15-CE40-0012).

2. The Lie algebras $I\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon}_+$ and $\mathfrak{g}\otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$

2.1. Definitions of $I\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_+^{\epsilon}$

Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple Lie algebra with Lie bracket denoted by [,]. Fix a Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b} of \mathfrak{g} and a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{b}$. Let \mathfrak{b}^- be the Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} containing \mathfrak{h} which is opposite to \mathfrak{b} . Set $\mathcal{V} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{b}^-$ viewed as a vector space. In this section, we define the Lie bracket $[,]_{\epsilon}$ on \mathcal{V} depending on the complex parameter ϵ , interpolating between $I\mathfrak{b}$ and the direct product $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$.

Let \mathfrak{n} and \mathfrak{n}^- denote the derived subalgebras of \mathfrak{b} and \mathfrak{b}^- respectively. Fix $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$. Define the skew-symmetric bilinear bracket $[,]_{\epsilon}$ on \mathcal{V} by

$$\begin{split} & [x, x']_{\epsilon} = [x, x'] & \forall x, x' \in \mathfrak{b} \\ & [y, y']_{\epsilon} = \epsilon [y, y'] & \forall y, y' \in \mathfrak{b}^{-} \\ & [x, y]_{\epsilon} = (\epsilon X + \epsilon \frac{H}{2}, \frac{H}{2} + Y) & \forall x \in \mathfrak{b} \ y \in \mathfrak{b}^{-} & \text{where } [x, y] = X + H + Y \in \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^{-} \end{split}$$

Then $[,]_{\epsilon}$ satisfies the Jacobi identity (see discussion after (3) for a proof). Endowed with this Lie bracket, \mathcal{V} is denoted by $\mathfrak{g}_{+}^{\epsilon}$. The linear map

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \varphi_{\epsilon} & : & \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{b}^{-} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{b}^{-} \\ & & (x,y) & \longmapsto & (x,\epsilon y) & & \text{for any } x \in \mathfrak{b}, \ y \in \mathfrak{b}^{-} \end{array}$$

allows to interpret $\mathfrak{g}_+^{\epsilon}$ as an Inönü-Wigner contraction [5] of \mathfrak{g}_+^1 . Indeed, for any nonzero ϵ , we have

$$[X,Y]_{\epsilon} = \varphi_{\epsilon}^{-1}([\varphi_{\epsilon}(X),\varphi_{\epsilon}(Y)]_{1}) \quad \forall X,Y \in \mathcal{V}.$$
(1)

We now describe \mathfrak{g}^1_+ . Using the triangular decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^{-}, \tag{2}$$

one defines the injective linear map

$$\begin{split} \iota^1_{\mathfrak{g}} : & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^- & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}^1_+ \\ & & (\xi, \alpha, \zeta) & \longmapsto & (\xi + \frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{2} + \zeta) \end{split}$$

and checks that it is a Lie algebra homomorphism whose image is an ideal of \mathfrak{g}_+^1 . Moreover, the image of

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \iota_{\mathfrak{h}}^{1} : & \mathfrak{h} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}_{+}^{1} \\ & \alpha & \longmapsto & (-\alpha, \alpha) \end{array}$$

is the center of \mathfrak{g}^1_+ and, as Lie algebras,

$$\mathfrak{g}^{1}_{+} = \iota^{1}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \iota^{1}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{h}). \tag{3}$$

Observe that we never used the Jacobi identity for $[,]_1$ to prove the isomorphism (3). Hence, we can deduce from it that $[,]_1$ satisfies the Jacobi identity. Then, the expression (5) implies that $[,]_{\epsilon}$ satisfies the Jacobi identity for any nonzero ϵ . Since this property is closed on the space of bilinear maps, it is satisfied by $[,]_0$ too.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple Lie algebra with Lie bracket denoted by [,]. Fix a Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b} of \mathfrak{g} and a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{b}$. Let \mathfrak{b}^- be the Borel subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} containing \mathfrak{h} which is opposite to \mathfrak{b} . Set $\mathcal{V} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{b}^-$ viewed as a vector space. In this section, we define the Lie bracket $[,]_{\epsilon}$ on \mathcal{V} depending on the complex parameter ϵ , interpolating between $I\mathfrak{b}$ and the direct product $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$.

Let \mathfrak{n} and \mathfrak{n}^- denote the derived subalgebras of \mathfrak{b} and \mathfrak{b}^- respectively, so that we can consider the triangular decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-.$$

The following is then an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\iota^{1}: \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \cong (\mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^{-}) \oplus \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{b}^{-} \\ ((\xi, \alpha, \zeta), \alpha') \longmapsto (\xi + \frac{\alpha}{2} - \alpha', \frac{\alpha}{2} + \zeta + \alpha')$$

$$\tag{4}$$

and the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ induces a bracket $[,]_1$ on $\mathcal{V} := \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{b}^-$.

Then, for $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, we consider the isomorphism of vector space

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \varphi_{\epsilon} : & \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{b}^- & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{b}^- \\ & & (x,y) & \longmapsto & (x,\epsilon y) \end{array}$$

which allows us to define a modified Lie bracket $[,]_{\epsilon}$ on \mathcal{V} via

$$[X,Y]_{\epsilon} = \varphi_{\epsilon}^{-1}([\varphi_{\epsilon}(X),\varphi_{\epsilon}(Y)]_{1}) \qquad \forall X,Y \in \mathcal{V}.$$
(5)

More explicitly, this yields

$$\begin{split} & [x, x']_{\epsilon} = [x, x'] & \forall x, x' \in \mathfrak{b} \\ & [y, y']_{\epsilon} = \epsilon[y, y'] & \forall y, y' \in \mathfrak{b}^{-} \\ & [x, y]_{\epsilon} = (\epsilon X + \epsilon \frac{H}{2}, \frac{H}{2} + Y) & \forall x \in \mathfrak{b} \ y \in \mathfrak{b}^{-} & \text{where } [x, y] = X + H + Y \in \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^{-}. \end{split}$$

This formula is also defined for $\epsilon = 0$, thus defining a bilinear map $[,]_0$. Since the property of being a Lie bracket is closed among the space of bilinear maps, we see that $[,]_0$ is also a Lie bracket.

For $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_+^{\epsilon}$ as \mathcal{V} endowed with $[,]_{\epsilon}$. By construction we have $\mathfrak{g}_+^{\epsilon} \cong \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ when $\epsilon \neq 0$.

Consider now $I\mathfrak{b}$ with its Lie bracket $[,]_{I\mathfrak{b}}$ defined as follows: \mathfrak{b}^* is an abelian ideal on which \mathfrak{b} acts by the coadjoint action. Denote by $\kappa : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} . Since the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{b} with respect to κ is \mathfrak{n} , \mathfrak{b}^* identifies with $\mathfrak{g/n}$ as a \mathfrak{b} -module. Identify $\mathfrak{g/n}$ with \mathfrak{b}^- in a canonical way (that is by $y \in \mathfrak{b}^- \longmapsto y + \mathfrak{n}$) and denote by $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b}^-$ the quotient map. Then $I\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{b}^*$ identifies with $\mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{b}^- = \mathcal{V}$. Let $[,]_I$ denote the Lie bracket transferred to \mathcal{V} from $[,]_{I\mathfrak{b}}$. Let $x, x' \in \mathfrak{b}$ and $y, y' \in \mathfrak{b}^-$ and decompose [x, y'] - [x', y] as X + H + Y with respect to $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-$. Then

$$[(x, y), (x', y')]_I = ([x, x'], H + Y).$$
(6)

We now describe \mathfrak{g}^0_+ . The Lie bracket $[,]_0$ on $\mathcal{V} = \mathfrak{g}^0_+$ is given by

$$[(x,y),(x',y')]_0 = ([x,x'],\frac{H}{2} + Y).$$
(7)

Comparing (6) and (7), one gets that the following linear map η is a Lie algebra isomorphism between \mathfrak{g}^0_+ and $I\mathfrak{b}$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \eta \ : \ \ \mathcal{V} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus (\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^{-}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{b}^{*} = I\mathfrak{b} \\ (x, h, y) & \longmapsto & (x, \kappa(2h + y, \Box)) \end{array}$$

Replacing \mathfrak{b}^- and \mathfrak{b}^* by \mathfrak{n}^- and \mathfrak{n}^* respectively, one defines \mathfrak{g}^{ϵ} and one gets the isomorphisms $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon}$ (for any $\epsilon \neq 0$) and $\mathfrak{g}^0 \simeq \overline{I\mathfrak{b}}$.

2.2. The affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra

The untwisted affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}$ is constructed from the simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . We refer to [7, Chapters I and XIII] for the basic properties of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}})$ the one dimensional center of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}$. Consider the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}^{\mathrm{KM}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}$ and its derived subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}^{\mathrm{KM}}$. By killing the semi-direct product and the central extension from the construction of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}$, one gets

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} &:= \quad [\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}, \mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}]/\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}) \\ &\cong \quad \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}] \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{lll} \tilde{\mathfrak{b}} & := & (\mathfrak{b}^{\mathrm{KM}} \cap [\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}, \mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}])/\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}) \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{n}} & := & (\mathfrak{n}^{\mathrm{KM}} \cap [\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}, \mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}])/\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}) = [\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{b}}] \end{array}$$

Identify \mathfrak{g} with the subspace $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Note that $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}/\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}) = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} + \mathbb{C}d$ where d acts as the derivation $t\frac{d}{dt}$.

We consider the set of (positive) roots $\Phi^{(+)}$ (resp. $\tilde{\Phi}^{(+)}$) of \mathfrak{g} (resp. \mathfrak{g}^{KM}) and the set of simple roots Δ (resp. $\tilde{\Delta}$) with respect to $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{h} + \mathbb{C}d + \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^{\text{KM}}) \subset \mathfrak{b}^{\text{KM}} \subset \mathfrak{g}^{\text{KM}}$). We recall the following classical facts:

$$\mathfrak{n}^{KM} \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{n}} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Phi}^+} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{lpha}$$

where $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^{KM}$ is the root space associated to α . Moreover, $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ is generated, as a Lie algebra by the subspaces $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}}$. The identification of Δ with $\{\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta} \mid \alpha(d) = 0\}$ yields the abovedescribed embedding $\mathfrak{g} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Denoting by δ the indivisible positive imaginary root in $\tilde{\Phi}$, we have

$$\tilde{\Phi} = \{ n\delta + \alpha \, | \, \alpha \in \Phi \cup \{0\}, n \in \mathbb{Z} \} \setminus \{0\}$$
$$\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta \cup \{\alpha_0 + \delta\}$$

where α_0 is the lowest root of Φ . Note that $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{n\delta} = t^n \mathfrak{h}$ $(n \in \mathbb{Z})$, using the notation $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0 := \mathfrak{h}$.

Finally, the extended Dynkin diagram can be reconstructed from the combinatorics of $\tilde{\Delta}$ in $\tilde{\Phi}$. Indeed, the nodes correspond to the elements of $\tilde{\Delta}$ and the non-diagonal entries $a_{\alpha,\beta}$ of the generalized Cartan matrix (encoding the arrows of the diagram) are $a_{\alpha,\beta} = -\max\{n \in \mathbb{N} | \beta + n\alpha \in \tilde{\Phi}\}$ by Serre relations. We list in Figure 1 the extended Dynkin diagram $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ in each simple type. The black node corresponds to the simple root $\alpha_0 + \delta$. We also provide the automorphism group of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Note that by the definition of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}$ given in [7, §1.1], any $\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{g}})$ provides an automorphism $\theta^{KM} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}})$ stabilizing both $\mathfrak{h} + \mathbb{C}d + \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}})$ and $\mathfrak{b}^{\mathrm{KM}}$ and permuting the generators $e_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha} \ (\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta})$ via $\theta^{KM}(e_{\alpha}) = e_{\theta(\alpha)}$ and $\theta^{KM}(f_{\alpha}) = f_{\theta(\alpha)}$. Since $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}})$ and $[\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}, \mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}]$ are characteristic in $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{KM}}$, *i.e.* stabilized by any automorphism of Lie algebra, this yields an automorphism $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$. Note that some choices have to be made for $\theta^{KM}(d)$, but the automorphism $\tilde{\theta}$ only depends on the $\theta^{KM}(e_{\alpha}), \theta^{KM}(f_{\alpha})$ with $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}$, since those elements generate $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.

It is unclear whether θ is $\mathbb{C}[t]$ -linear in general. When it is $\mathbb{C}[t]$ -linear, we mention some consequences in Remark 15. However, we can still get the following general result.

Lemma 2. With the above notations, there exists $\lambda \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that

$$\forall x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \, \tilde{\theta}(tx) = \lambda t \tilde{\theta}(x). \tag{8}$$

In particular, the automorphism $\hat{\theta} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ stabilizes $t\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$. Moreover, $\lambda = 1$ whenever the order of θ is odd.

Proof. Note that, since θ^{KM} acts on the semi-group $\tilde{\Phi}^+$, it stabilizes the semi-group of positive imaginary roots $\mathbb{N}^*\delta$ and thus fixes its generator δ . In particular, in the additive group $\tilde{\Phi} \cup \{0\}$, we have $\theta^{KM}(\cdot + \delta) = \delta + \theta^{KM}(\cdot)$. Defining Ψ on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ via $\Psi(x) = \tilde{\theta}^{-1}(t^{-1}\tilde{\theta}(tx))$, we thus get that $\Psi_{\alpha} := \Psi_{|\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha}}$ is an invertible linear map on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in \tilde{\Phi} \cup \{0\}$. Since dim $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha} = 1$ for $\alpha \in \tilde{\Phi} \setminus \mathbb{Z}\delta$, we can thus define λ_{α} as the element of \mathbb{C}^{\times} such that $\Psi_{\alpha} = \lambda_{\alpha}Id_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha}}$.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \tilde{\Phi} \cup \{0\}, x_{\alpha} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha}, x_{\beta} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\beta}$. By $\mathbb{C}[t]$ -bilinearity of the bracket, we get

$$\Psi_{\alpha+\beta}([x_{\alpha}, x_{\beta}]) = \tilde{\theta}^{-1}(t^{-1}[\tilde{\theta}(tx_{\alpha}), \tilde{\theta}(x_{\beta})]) = [\Psi_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}), x_{\beta}].$$
(9)

For $\alpha = 0$, $x_{\alpha} = h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $\beta \in \tilde{\Phi} \setminus \mathbb{Z}\delta$, we get

$$\lambda_{\beta}\beta(h)x_{\beta} = \Psi_{\beta}(\beta(h)x_{\beta}) \stackrel{(9)}{=} \beta(\Psi_{0}(h))x_{\beta}.$$
(10)

In particular, Ψ_0 induces on \mathfrak{h}^* a linear map ${}^t\Psi_0$ sending β to $\lambda_\beta\beta$ for each $\beta \in \Phi \subset \tilde{\Phi} \setminus \mathbb{Z}\delta$. If $\beta, \gamma \in \Delta$ correspond to connected nodes of the Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{g} , then β, γ and $\beta + \gamma$ are eigenvectors of ${}^t\Psi_0$ so $\lambda_\beta = \lambda_\gamma$. By connectivity of the Dynkin diagram, we get that the λ_β ($\beta \in \Delta$) are all equal to a single value λ . Since Δ generates \mathfrak{h}^* , we get $\Psi_0 = \lambda I d_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0}$.

For any $\beta \in \tilde{\Phi} \setminus \mathbb{Z}\delta$, we can choose $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\beta(h) \neq 0$. Applying (10) yields $\lambda_{\beta}\beta(h)x_{\beta} = \beta(\lambda h)x_{\beta}$, that is $\lambda_{\beta} = \lambda$.

When $\alpha = -\beta \in \Delta$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get $\Psi_{n\delta}(t^n[x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}]) \stackrel{(9)}{=} [\Psi_\alpha(x_\alpha), t^n x_{-\alpha}] = \lambda t^n[x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}].$ Since the $t^n[\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-\alpha}]$ ($\alpha \in \Delta$) generate $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{n\delta}$, this yields $\Psi_{n\delta} = \lambda I d_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{n\delta}}$. Finally, we have proved that $\Psi = \lambda I d_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}$ and this yields (8), with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let *m* be the order of θ . Equation (8) can be rewritten as $t^{-1}\tilde{\theta}t = \lambda\tilde{\theta}$ where $t^{\pm 1}$ denotes the multiplication by $t^{\pm 1}$ in $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. This identity to the power *m* yields $\lambda^m = 1$.

In the setting of [7, Chapter XIII], the Cartan involution ω of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ sending each generator $e_{\alpha} \ (\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta})$ to $-f_{\alpha}$ is given by

$$\omega(t^i x) = t^{-i} \mathring{\omega}(x) \qquad (i \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathfrak{g})$$

where $\mathring{\omega}$ is the Cartan involution of \mathfrak{g} . As a consequence, $\omega t = t^{-1}\omega$. Also, $\omega \circ \tilde{\theta} \circ \omega(e_{\alpha}) = \omega \circ \tilde{\theta}(-f_{\alpha}) = -\omega(f_{\theta(\alpha)}) = e_{\theta(\alpha)} = \tilde{\theta}(e_{\alpha})$ and the same computation gives $\omega \circ \tilde{\theta} \circ \omega(f_{\alpha}) = \tilde{\theta}(f_{\alpha})$ so $\omega \tilde{\theta} \omega = \tilde{\theta}$. Then conjugating $t^{-1}\tilde{\theta}t = \lambda \tilde{\theta}$ by the involution ω yields $t\tilde{\theta}t^{-1} = \lambda \tilde{\theta}$. It follows from these equalities that $\lambda^2 = 1$. Hence $\lambda \in \{\pm 1\}$ with $\lambda = 1$ if m is odd.

Finally, $\tilde{\theta}$ permutes the generators of $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$: $(e_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}}$. Hence $\tilde{\theta}$ stabilizes $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $\tilde{\theta}(t\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}) = \pm t\tilde{\mathfrak{n}} = t\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$

Remark 3. We also checked in several cases, including the cyclic automorphism in type A, that $\lambda = 1$. In such cases, $\tilde{\theta}$ then also stabilizes $(t - \epsilon)\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ for any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$.

2.3. Realization of $\mathfrak{g}_+^{\epsilon}$

The Lie algebras \mathfrak{b} and $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ decompose as

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathbb{C}[t]\mathfrak{b} \oplus t\mathbb{C}[t]\mathfrak{n}^{-}, \tilde{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathbb{C}[t]\mathfrak{n} \oplus t\mathbb{C}[t]\mathfrak{b}^{-}.$$

Moreover, $(t - \epsilon)\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ is an ideal of $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}$, and $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}/((t - \epsilon)\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$ is a Lie algebra.

Theorem 4. Let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$. The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon}_+$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}/(t-\epsilon)\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ are isomorphic. Similarly, \mathfrak{g}^{ϵ} is isomorphic to $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}/(t-\epsilon)\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}$.

Proof. From Section 2.1, we have $\mathfrak{g}^1_+ = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{b}^-$ as vector spaces. Elements of \mathfrak{g}^1_+ will be written as couples with respect to this decomposition.

Let $\iota_{\mathfrak{g}}^{1}: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}_{+}^{1}$ be $(\iota^{1})_{|\mathfrak{g}\times\{0\}}$ where ι_{1} is as in (4). Set $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}_{+}^{1}}:=\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]\otimes\mathfrak{g}_{+}^{1}$ and extend $\iota_{\mathfrak{g}}^{1}$ to an injective $\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -linear map $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}_{+}^{1}}$. Consider the subspace $\mathfrak{w}:=\mathbb{C}[t]\mathfrak{b}\oplus t\mathbb{C}[t]\mathfrak{b}^{-}$ that is a Lie subalgebra of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}_{+}^{1}}$. If $\epsilon \neq 0$, the Inönü-Wigner contraction (5) on \mathfrak{g}_{+}^{1} with respect to the decomposition $\mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{b}^{-}$ gives rise to $\mathfrak{g}_{+}^{\epsilon}$ ($\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$). We easily deduce that the linear map

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon}_{+} &\longrightarrow & \mathfrak{w}/(t-\epsilon)\mathfrak{w} \\
(x,y) &\longmapsto & x+ty+(t-\epsilon)\mathfrak{w} & \text{ for any } x \in \mathfrak{b} \text{ and } y \in \mathfrak{b}^{-},
\end{array}$$
(11)

is a Lie algebra isomorphism. For $\epsilon = 0$, it is still a linear isomorphism and, by continuity, a Lie algebra homomorphism.

Set $\mathfrak{b}_0^- := \iota_{\mathfrak{g}}^1(\mathfrak{b}^-) = \{(h,h) | h \in \mathfrak{h}\} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-$. Observe that $t\mathfrak{b}_0^-$ is contained in \mathfrak{w} . Indeed, for any $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, the element t(h,h) = t(h,0) + t(0,h) belongs to $\mathbb{C}[t]\mathfrak{b} \oplus t\mathbb{C}[t]\mathfrak{b}^-$. In particular, one gets a linear map induced by the inclusions of \mathfrak{b} and $t\mathfrak{b}_0^-$ in \mathfrak{w} :

$$\mathfrak{b} \oplus t\mathfrak{b}_0^- \longrightarrow \mathfrak{w}$$

One can easily check that it induces a linear isomorphism $\mathfrak{b} \oplus t\mathfrak{b}_0^- \longrightarrow \mathfrak{w}/(t-\epsilon)\mathfrak{w}$. Setting $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{w}} := \langle \mathfrak{b} \oplus t\mathfrak{b}_0^- \rangle_{Lie}$, the Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{w} generated by $\mathfrak{b} \oplus t\mathfrak{b}_0^-$, we thus get a Lie algebra isomorphism.

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{w}}/((t-\epsilon)\mathfrak{w}\cap\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{w}})\longrightarrow\mathfrak{w}/(t-\epsilon)\mathfrak{w}.$$
 (12)

Since, $\mathfrak{b} = \{(h,0) | h \in \mathfrak{h}\} \oplus \iota_{\mathfrak{g}}^{1}(\mathfrak{n}) \text{ and } \langle \iota_{\mathfrak{g}}^{1}(\mathfrak{n}) \oplus \iota_{\mathfrak{g}}^{1}(t\mathfrak{b}^{-}) \rangle_{Lie} = \iota_{\mathfrak{g}}^{1}(\langle \mathfrak{n} \oplus t\mathfrak{b}^{-} \rangle_{Lie}) = \iota_{\mathfrak{g}}^{1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}), \text{ we have } \tilde{\iota}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{1}(\mathfrak{n}) \oplus \iota_{\mathfrak{g}}^{1}(\mathfrak{n}) \oplus \iota_{\mathfrak{g}}^{1}(\mathfrak{n}) = \iota_{\mathfrak{g}}^{1}(\mathfrak{n}) \oplus \iota_{\mathfrak{g}}^{1}(\mathfrak{$

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{w}} = \{(h,0) | h \in \mathfrak{h}\} \oplus \iota_{\mathfrak{g}}^{1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}) \cong \iota_{\mathfrak{g}}^{1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}) \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{b}},$$
(13)

the middle Lie algebra isomorphism being the identity on $\iota^1_{\mathfrak{g}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$ and sending (h, 0) to $\frac{1}{2}(h, h)$ for each $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Moreover, $(t - \epsilon)\mathfrak{w} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{w}} = (t - \epsilon)\iota^1_{\mathfrak{g}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$. Indeed, $(t - \epsilon)\iota^1_{\mathfrak{g}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$ is contained in $(t - \epsilon)\mathfrak{w} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{w}}$, and $\mathfrak{b} \oplus t\mathfrak{b}_0^-$ is complementary to $(t - \epsilon)\iota^1_{\mathfrak{g}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$ in $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{w}}$.

We finally get the desired Lie isomorphism

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}/(t-\epsilon)\tilde{\mathfrak{n}} \stackrel{(13)}{\cong} \tilde{\mathfrak{b}}_{\mathfrak{w}}/(t-\epsilon)\iota_{\mathfrak{g}}^{1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}) \stackrel{(12)}{\cong} \mathfrak{w}/(t-\epsilon)\mathfrak{w} \stackrel{(11)}{\cong} \mathfrak{g}_{+}^{\epsilon}$$

In addition, we can make explicit the isomorphism of Theorem 4:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \gamma_{\epsilon} : & \mathfrak{g}_{+}^{\epsilon} & \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} & \tilde{\mathfrak{b}}/(t-\epsilon)\tilde{\mathfrak{n}} \\ & (x,0) & \longmapsto & x & \text{if } x \in \mathfrak{n} \\ & (0,y) & \longmapsto & ty & \text{if } y \in \mathfrak{n}^{-} \\ & (a,b) & \longmapsto & (a-\epsilon b) + 2tb & \text{if } a, b \in \mathfrak{h} \end{array}$$

and its inverse map is induced by

 θ

Note that, in order to prove Theorem 4, we could alternatively have checked directly that θ is a surjective Lie algebra homomorphism from $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}$ onto $\mathfrak{g}_+^{\epsilon}$ with kernel $(t - \epsilon)\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$.

3. Some subgroups of Aut(Ib)

3.1. The roots of $I\mathfrak{b}$

From Sections 2.1 and 2.3, we can interpret the Lie algebra $I\mathfrak{b}$ in the Kac-Moody world via the isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{cccc} I\mathfrak{b} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathfrak{b}}/t\tilde{\mathfrak{n}} & & \left(\begin{array}{c} x \in \mathfrak{b}, \\ x, y \end{array}\right) & \longmapsto & x + ty & \left(\begin{array}{c} y \in \mathfrak{b}^- \cong \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n} \stackrel{\kappa}{\cong} \mathfrak{b}^* \end{array}\right) \end{array}$$

From now on, this identification will be made systematically. In particular, we write $I\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus t\mathfrak{b}^-$. We first describe some basic properties of $I\mathfrak{b}$ in this language.

ц			

Lemma 5. 1. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{c} := \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{th}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of I \mathfrak{b} . Namely, \mathfrak{c} is abelian and equal to its normalizer.

2. Under the action of \mathfrak{c} , $I\mathfrak{b}$ decomposes as

$$I\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{c}\oplus igoplus_{lpha\in\Phi^+}\mathfrak{g}_lpha\oplus igoplus_{lpha\in\Phi^-}t\mathfrak{g}_lpha.$$

For $\alpha \in \Phi^+$, \mathfrak{c} acts on \mathfrak{g}_{α} with the weight $(\alpha, 0) \in \mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{th}^*$. For $\alpha \in \Phi^-$, \mathfrak{c} acts on \mathfrak{tg}_{α} with the weight $(\alpha, 0) \in \mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{th}^*$. Here, we identified \mathfrak{c}^* with $\mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{th}^*$ in a natural way.

- 3. The set of ad-nilpotent elements of $I\mathfrak{b}$ is $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}/t\tilde{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus t\mathfrak{b}^-$.
- 4. The center of $I\mathfrak{b}$ is $\mathfrak{z}(I\mathfrak{b}) = t\mathfrak{h}$.
- 5. The derived subalgebra of $I\mathfrak{b}$ is $[I\mathfrak{b}; I\mathfrak{b}] = \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}/t\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$.

Proof. 1-2) The fact that \mathfrak{c} is abelian and the decomposition in \mathfrak{h} -eigenspaces are clear from the definition of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. The action of $t\mathfrak{h}$ is zero since it sends $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ to $t\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ that vanishes itself in $I\mathfrak{b}$. The decomposition of $I\mathfrak{b}$ in weight spaces under the action of \mathfrak{c} follows. Then this decomposition also implies that \mathfrak{c} is its own normalizer in $I\mathfrak{b}$.

3) The elements of $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}/t\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ are clearly ad-nilpotent. From 2), an element with nonzero component in \mathfrak{h} is not ad-nilpotent.

4) Since $t\mathfrak{h}$ acts as 0 on $\mathfrak{n}/t\mathfrak{n}$ and on \mathfrak{h} , we have $t\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{z}(I\mathfrak{b})$. The decomposition in weight spaces implies the converse inclusion.

5) The inclusion $[I\mathfrak{b}, I\mathfrak{b}] \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}/t\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ is clear. On the other hand we deduce from the weight space decomposition that the subspaces $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}}$ belong to $[I\mathfrak{b}, I\mathfrak{b}]$. Since they generate $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ in $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, the result follows.

It follows from Lemma 5 and Theorem 4 that $\overline{I\mathfrak{b}} \cong I\mathfrak{b}/t\mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{g}^0_+/\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^0_+) \cong \mathfrak{g}^0$. Then it is straightforward from Lemma 5 and its proof that

- \mathfrak{h} is a Cartan subalgebra of $I\mathfrak{b}$.
- The non-zero \mathfrak{h} -weights (resp. weight spaces) on $\overline{I\mathfrak{b}}$ coincide with the non-zero \mathfrak{c} -weights (resp. weight space) on $I\mathfrak{b}$ via projection. In particular $\Phi(\overline{I\mathfrak{b}}) \cong \Phi(I\mathfrak{b}) \cong \Phi$.
- $[\overline{I\mathfrak{b}},\overline{I\mathfrak{b}}] = \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}/t\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}.$

From Lemma 5 (2), the set $\Phi(I\mathfrak{b})$ of nonzero weights of \mathfrak{c} acting on $I\mathfrak{b}$ identifies with Φ . It is also useful to embed $\Phi(I\mathfrak{b})$ in $\tilde{\Phi}$ by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \varphi & : & \Phi(I\mathfrak{b}) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\Phi} \\ & \alpha \in \Phi^+ & \longmapsto & \alpha \\ & \alpha \in \Phi^- & \longmapsto & \delta + \alpha \end{array}$$

Indeed, the weight space $(I\mathfrak{b})_{\alpha}$ identifies with $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\varphi(\alpha)}$, for any $\alpha \in \Phi(I\mathfrak{b})$. In particular, for $\alpha, \beta \in \tilde{\Phi} \cup \{0\}$, we have $[I\mathfrak{b}_{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)}, I\mathfrak{b}_{\varphi^{-1}(\beta)}] \subset I\mathfrak{b}_{\varphi^{-1}(\alpha+\beta)}$ with equality when $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \notin \{0, \delta\}$. Set also $\Delta(I\mathfrak{b}) = \varphi^{-1}(\tilde{\Delta}) = \Delta \cup \{\alpha_0\}$.

Lemma 6. 1. The derived subalgebra of $I\mathfrak{b}^{(1)} := [I\mathfrak{b}, I\mathfrak{b}]$ is

$$I\mathfrak{b}^{(2)} = t\mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi(I\mathfrak{b}) \setminus \Delta(I\mathfrak{b})} (I\mathfrak{b})_{\alpha}$$

2. Assume that \mathfrak{g} is not \mathfrak{sl}_2 . For $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta(I\mathfrak{b})$ ($\alpha \neq \beta$), the corresponding entry of the generalized Cartan Matrix of \mathfrak{g}^{KM} is given by

$$a_{\alpha,\beta} = -\max\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \beta + n\alpha \in \Phi(I\mathfrak{b})\}.$$

Proof. 1) Recall that $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ is generated as a Lie algebra by the $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha})_{\alpha\in\tilde{\Delta}}$. Thus, for weight reasons, the $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha})_{\alpha\in\tilde{\Phi}\setminus\tilde{\Delta}}$ are root spaces included in $[\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}]$. Since $\tilde{\Delta}$ is a linearly independent set, they are in fact the only root spaces not contained in $[\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}]$. Taking a quotient, this yields $\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Phi(I\mathfrak{b})\setminus\Delta(I\mathfrak{b})}(I\mathfrak{b})_{\alpha}=I\mathfrak{b}^{(2)}$.

2) Recall that the statement is valid if we replace $\Phi(I\mathfrak{b})$ by $\tilde{\Phi}$, see Section 2.2. It is thus sufficient to show that

$$\beta + n\alpha \in \Phi \implies \beta + n\alpha \in \Phi(I\mathfrak{b}).$$

When $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$, the statement is clear since $\Phi^+ \subset \Phi(I\mathfrak{b})$.

If $\beta = \delta + \alpha_0$, then $\beta + n\alpha \in \tilde{\Phi}$ means that $\alpha_0 + n\alpha \in \Phi$. Expressing α_0 as a linear combination of simple roots, one gets only negative coefficients. Since \mathfrak{g} is not \mathfrak{sl}_2 , some of them remain negative in the expression of $\alpha_0 + n\alpha$, so this root has to lie in Φ^- . Thus $\beta + n\alpha \in \Phi(I\mathfrak{b})$. If $\alpha = \delta + \alpha_0$, then $\beta + n\alpha \in \tilde{\Phi}$ means that $\beta + n\alpha_0 \in \Phi$. For height reasons, we must have $n \in \{0, 1\}$. Then, $\beta + n\alpha \in \Phi(I\mathfrak{b})$.

Remark 7. One can observe that the first assertion of Lemma 6 is similar to

$$[\mathfrak{n},\mathfrak{n}] = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi^+ \setminus \Delta} \mathfrak{b}_{\alpha}.$$

3.2. The adjoint subgroup of $Aut(I\mathfrak{b})$

Let G be the adjoint group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Let T and B be the connected subgroups of G with Lie algebras \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{b} . Consider now $\mathfrak{b}^- \cong \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}$ equipped with the addition as an abelian algebraic group. The adjoint action of B on \mathfrak{g} stabilizes \mathfrak{n} and induces a linear action on $\mathfrak{b}^- \cong \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}$ by group isomorphisms. We can construct the semidirect product:

$$IB := B \ltimes \mathfrak{b}^-.$$

By construction the Lie algebra of IB identifies with $I\mathfrak{b}$. The adjoint action of IB on $I\mathfrak{b}$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{cccc} IB \times I\mathfrak{b} & \longrightarrow & I\mathfrak{b} \\ ((b,f),x+ty) & \longmapsto & b \cdot x + tb \cdot (y+[f,x]+\mathfrak{n}) & \text{for } b \in B, \, x \in \mathfrak{b} \text{ and } f, y \in \mathfrak{b}^-, \end{array}$$
(14)

where $y + [f, x] + \mathfrak{n}$ is viewed as an element of $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n} \cong \mathfrak{b}^-$ and where \cdot denotes the *B*-action on \mathfrak{b} and on \mathfrak{b}^- . It induces a group homomorphism

Ad :
$$IB \longrightarrow Aut(Ib)$$

with kernel $Z(IB) \cong (1, \mathfrak{h})$. In particular, one gets:

Lemma 8. The image $\operatorname{Ad}(IB)$ is isomorphic to $B \ltimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}$.

Note also that $\operatorname{Ad}(IB) = H \ltimes (N \ltimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b})$ where N and H are the connected subgroups of B with respective Lie algebras \mathfrak{n} and \mathfrak{h} . Since $\mathfrak{n} + t\mathfrak{b}^-$ is the set of ad-nilpotent elements of $I\mathfrak{b}$, we get the following result from (14).

Lemma 9. 1. The group of elementary automorphisms¹ $\operatorname{Aut}_e(I\mathfrak{b}) = \exp \operatorname{ad}(\mathfrak{n} + t\mathfrak{b}^-)$ coincides with $N \ltimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}$.

2. $\operatorname{Ad}(IB) = \exp \operatorname{ad}(I\mathfrak{b})$

3.3. A unipotent subgroup of Aut(Ib)

Let \mathfrak{a} be a Lie algebra. We consider the derived subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}^{(1)} := [\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}]$, the center $\mathfrak{z} := \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{a})$ and the quotient Lie algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{a}} := \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{z}$.

Any linear map $u \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^{(1)},\mathfrak{z})$, defines a linear map $\overline{u} : \begin{cases} \mathfrak{a} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a} \\ X \longmapsto X + u(X + \mathfrak{a}^{(1)}) \end{cases}$. Since u takes values in \mathfrak{z} and vanishes on $\mathfrak{a}^{(1)}$, we have

$$[\bar{u}(X),\bar{u}(Y)] = [X + u(X), Y + u(Y)] = [X,Y] = [X,Y] + u([X,Y]) = \bar{u}([X,Y]).$$

In other words, \bar{u} is a morphism of Lie algebras.

On the other hand, any $\theta \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{a})$ stabilizes the center of \mathfrak{a} , and hence it induces an automorphism of $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}$. This yields a natural group homomorphism

$$R: \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{a}) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\bar{\mathfrak{a}}). \tag{15}$$

Lemma 10. Assume that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{a}^{(1)}$. With the above notations, we have an exact sequence of groups

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^{(1)},\mathfrak{z}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{a}) \xrightarrow{R} \operatorname{Aut}(\bar{\mathfrak{a}})$$
$$u \longmapsto \bar{u}$$

where $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^{(1)},\mathfrak{z})$ is seen as the additive vector group.

We denote

$$U := \{ \bar{u} \mid u \in \operatorname{Hom}(I\mathfrak{b}/I\mathfrak{b}^{(1)},\mathfrak{z}(I\mathfrak{b})) \}.$$
(16)

This lemma, together with Lemma 5, implies the following results

Corollary 11. 1. (U, \circ) is a normal subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b})$ of dimension $(\dim \mathfrak{h})^2$ 2. $R(\operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b})) = \operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b})/U \subset \operatorname{Aut}(\overline{I\mathfrak{b}}).$

We will see in Lemma 18 that the last inclusion is actually an equality (*i.e.* the sequence of Lemma 10 is a short exact sequence for $\mathfrak{a} = I\mathfrak{b}$)

¹Recall that the group of elementary automorphisms of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{a} is the group generated by the $\exp(\operatorname{ad} n)$ for $n \in \mathfrak{a}$ ad-nilpotent, cf. [12, 19.1.4]

Proof of Lemma 10. We have

$$(\bar{u} \circ \bar{v})(X) = (X + v(X)) + u(X + v(X)) = X + u(X) + v(X) = \overline{u + v(X)}$$

where the middle equality is due to $v(X) \in \mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{a}^{(1)} \subset \operatorname{Ker}(u)$. So the map $u \mapsto \overline{u}$ is a semigroup homomorphism from $(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^{(1)},\mathfrak{z}),+)$ to $(\operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{a}),\circ)$. Since $(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^{(1)}],\mathfrak{z}),+)$ is actually a group, its image is contained in $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{a})$.

It is clear that the map $u \mapsto \bar{u}$ is injective and, since u takes values in \mathfrak{z} , that $R(\bar{u}) = Id_{\bar{\mathfrak{a}}}$. In order to prove exactness of the sequence at $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{a})$, there remains to prove the implication

$$\forall \theta \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{a}), R(\theta) = Id_{\overline{\mathfrak{a}}} \Rightarrow ((\theta - Id)(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{z}) \text{ and } ((\theta - Id)_{|\mathfrak{a}^{(1)}} = 0)$$

The first property is immediate. The second one follows from the fact that, for such a θ , we have $\theta([X, Y]) \in [X + \mathfrak{z}, Y + \mathfrak{z}] = [X, Y]$.

3.4. The loop subgroup

Lemma 12. The following map is an injective group homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{C}^* & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b}) \\ \tau & \longmapsto & \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} \delta_{\tau} : & I\mathfrak{b} & \longrightarrow & I\mathfrak{b} \\ & x & \longmapsto & x & \operatorname{if} x \in \mathfrak{b} \\ & ty & \longmapsto & \tau ty & \operatorname{if} y \in \mathfrak{b}^- \end{array} \right).$$

We denote by $D \subset \operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b})$ the image of this map.

Proof. It is a straightforward check on $\mathfrak{b} \ltimes t\mathfrak{b}^-$ that the δ_{τ} are automorphisms of $I\mathfrak{b}$. \Box

Remark 13. The map δ_{τ} corresponds to the change of variable $t \mapsto \tau t$ in the $\mathbb{C}[t]$ -Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}/t\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$. Moreover, the Lie algebra of D acts on $I\mathfrak{b}$ like $\mathbb{C}d$ where d is the derivation involved in the definition of \mathfrak{g}^{KM} .

3.5. Automorphisms stabilizing the Cartan subalgebra

For any $\alpha \in \Delta(I\mathfrak{b})$, fix generators e_{α} of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}$ giving rise to elements $X_{\alpha} \in I\mathfrak{b}_{\alpha}$ in the corresponding root space $(I\mathfrak{b})_{\alpha}$. Set

$$\Gamma := \left\{ \theta \in \operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b}) \mid \begin{array}{l} \theta(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathfrak{h} \\ \theta(\{X_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta(I\mathfrak{b})\}) = \{X_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta(I\mathfrak{b})\} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Note that, since \mathfrak{c} is the sum of \mathfrak{h} with $\mathfrak{z}(I\mathfrak{b})$ and since the center is characteristic, the elements of Γ also stabilize \mathfrak{c} .

Proposition 14. The group Γ is isomorphic to the automorphism group of the affine Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. By construction, Γ induces an action on $\Delta(I\mathfrak{b})$. By Lemma 6 (2), we have for $g \in \Gamma$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta(I\mathfrak{b})$:

$$a_{\alpha,\beta} = -\max\{n | (\operatorname{ad} X_{\alpha})^{n}(X_{\beta}) \neq 0\}$$

= $-\max\{n | g((\operatorname{ad} X_{\alpha})^{n}(X_{\beta})) \neq 0\}$
= $-\max\{n | (\operatorname{ad} X_{g(\alpha)})^{n}(X_{g(\beta)}) \neq 0\} = a_{g(\alpha),g(\beta)}$

Hence g actually induces an automorphism of the extended Dynkin diagram² and we thus obtain a group homomorphism

$$\Theta : \Gamma \to \operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{g}}).$$

We claim that Θ is surjective. Indeed, fix an automorphism θ of the group $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. As it was mentioned in Section 2.2, there exists $\tilde{\theta} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ which stabilizes both \mathfrak{h} and $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}$ and which permutes the generators $\{e_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}\}$ and thus $\tilde{\Delta} \cong \Delta(I\mathfrak{b})$ as θ does. By Lemma 2, $\tilde{\theta}$ stabilizes $t\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$, so induces the desired element of $\operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}/t\tilde{\mathfrak{n}})$.

We now prove that Θ is injective. Let θ in its kernel. By the definition of the group Γ , θ stabilizes \mathfrak{h} . Since the restrictions of the elements of $\Delta(I\mathfrak{b})$ span \mathfrak{h}^* , the restriction of θ to \mathfrak{h} has to be the identity. In particular, θ acts trivially on $\Phi(I\mathfrak{b})$ and stabilizes each root space $(I\mathfrak{b})_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \Phi(I\mathfrak{b})$. But θ stabilizes the set $\{X_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta(I\mathfrak{b})\}$. Hence θ acts trivially on each $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \Delta(I\mathfrak{b})$. Since $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ is generated by the $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Delta(I\mathfrak{b})}$, the restriction of θ to $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}/t\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ is the identity map. Finally, θ is trivial and Θ is injective.

- **Remark 15.** 1. [1, Theorem 2] is the construction of an explicit order n automorphism of $\mathfrak{gl}_{n+}^{\epsilon}$. We can also interpret this automorphism in terms of the isomorphism $\mathfrak{gl}_{n+}^{\epsilon} \cong$ $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}/(t-\epsilon)\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ of Theorem 4. Indeed, let θ be the cyclic automorphism of the extended Dynkin diagram in type A_{ℓ} and let $\tilde{\theta}$ be the automorphism of \mathfrak{g} associated to θ as in Section 2.2. By Lemma 2 and the subsequent remark, $\tilde{\theta}$ induces an automorphism of $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}}/(t-\epsilon)\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$. Moreover, it is easily checked that the action on layer 1 in [1] is a cyclic permutation of the generators $(e_{\alpha})_{\alpha\in\tilde{\Delta}}$.
 - 2. Consider the trivial vector bundle <u>\(\nu\)</u> := \(\nu\) × \(\Lambda\)¹ over \(\Lambda\)¹ = Spec(\(\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]]). The Lie bracket [,]_{\(\epsilon\)} endows <u>\(\nu\)</u> with a structure of a Lie algebra bundle meaning that [,]_{\(\epsilon\)} can be seen as a section of the vector bundle \(\Lambda\)² <u>\(\nu\)</u>^{*} \(\imes\) <u>\(\nu\)</u> satisfying the Jaccobi identity. Consider the group Aut(<u>\(\nu\)</u>, [,]_{\(\epsilon\)}) consisting of automorphisms of the vector bundle <u>\(\nu\)</u> respecting the Lie bracket pointwise. Let θ ∈ Aut(\(\tilde{\(\nu\)}) and assume that the \(\tilde{\(\tilde{\(\mu\)})} = 1\) in Lemma 2). Then it is easy to check that \(\tilde{\(\mu\)}) induces an element of Aut(<u>\(\(\(\nu\)</u>, [,]_{\(\epsilon\)}). In other words, θ lifts to an \(\(\Lambda\)^1-family of automorphisms over the \(\(\Lambda\)^1.

4. Description of Aut(Ib)

In this section, we describe the structure of

$$\operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b}) = \{g \in \operatorname{GL}(I\mathfrak{b}) : \forall X, Y \in I\mathfrak{b} \qquad g([X,Y]) = [g(X),g(Y)]\}$$

²If \mathfrak{g} is \mathfrak{sl}_2 , Lemma 6 (2) does not apply. However, any permutation of $\tilde{\Delta}$ is an automorphism of the extended Dynkin diagram in this case.

in terms of the subgroups $U \cong \mathcal{M}_r(\mathbb{C})$, $\operatorname{Ad}(IB) \cong B \ltimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}$, $D \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\Gamma \cong \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_\mathfrak{g})$ introduced in Section 3.

Observe that $\operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b})$ is a Zariski closed subgroup of the linear group $\operatorname{GL}(I\mathfrak{b})$.

Theorem 16. We have the following decompositions

 $\operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b}) = \Gamma \ltimes (D \ltimes (\operatorname{Ad}(IB) \times U)),$ $\operatorname{Aut}(\overline{I\mathfrak{b}}) = \Gamma \ltimes (D \ltimes (\operatorname{Ad}(IB)).$

In particular, the neutral component is $\operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b})^{\circ} = D \ltimes (\operatorname{Ad}(IB) \times U)$ and Γ can be seen as the component group of $\operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b})$.

The result is a consequence of the lemmas provided below. Indeed, by Lemma 18, the four subgroups generate Aut($I\mathfrak{b}$). By Corollary 11(1) and Lemma 17 below, the subgroup generated by U and Ad(IB) is a direct product $U \times Ad(IB)$. Then the structure of Aut($I\mathfrak{b}$) follows from Lemma 19. That of Aut($\overline{I\mathfrak{b}}$) follows the same lines, using Corollary 11(2). Note that we have identified Γ , Ad(IB) and D with their image under R, via Lemma 10.

Since D, $\operatorname{Ad}(IB)$ and U are connected and Γ is discrete, $\operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b}) = \bigsqcup_{g \in \Gamma} gD\operatorname{Ad}(IB)U$ is a finite disjoint union of irreducible subsets of the same dimension. They are thus the irreducible components of $\operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b})$ and the remaining statements of Theorem 16 follow.

Lemma 17. The subgroups U and Ad(IB) are normal in Aut(Ib). Moreover, $U \cap Ad(IB) = \{Id\}$.

Proof. Recall that Ad(IB) is generated by the exponentials of ad(x) with $x \in I\mathfrak{b}$. Then for any $\theta \in Aut(I\mathfrak{b})$,

$$\theta \operatorname{Ad}(IB)\theta^{-1} = \theta \exp(I\mathfrak{b})\theta^{-1} = \exp(\theta(I\mathfrak{b})) = \exp(I\mathfrak{b}) = \operatorname{Ad}(IB).$$

Let $(b, f) \in IB$ and $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Then $\operatorname{Ad}(b, f)(h) = b \cdot h + tb \cdot ([f, h] + \mathfrak{n})$. Assuming that $\operatorname{Ad}(b, f) = \overline{u} \in U$, we have $\operatorname{Ad}(b, f)(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{z}$ so $\operatorname{Ad}(b)(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathfrak{h}$, that is b belongs to the normalizer of \mathfrak{h} in B, which turns to be T. In particular, $b \cdot [f, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{n}^-$ and $\operatorname{Ad}(b, f)(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathfrak{h} + (\mathfrak{n} + t\mathfrak{n}^-)$. Hence u = 0 and finally $\operatorname{Ad}(IB) \cap U = \{\operatorname{Id}\}$. \Box

Lemma 18. We have $\operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b}) = \Gamma D\operatorname{Ad}(IB)U$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(\overline{I\mathfrak{b}}) = \Gamma D\operatorname{Ad}(IB)$.

Proof. Let $\theta \in \operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b})$. Since the two Cartan subalgebras \mathfrak{c} and $\theta(\mathfrak{c})$ are Ad-conjugate (see [2, §3, n° 2, th. 1]), there exists $\theta_1 \in \operatorname{Ad}(IB)\theta$ which stabilizes \mathfrak{c} .

Then $\theta_1(\mathfrak{h})$ is complementary to the center $t\mathfrak{h} = \theta_1(t\mathfrak{h})$ in \mathfrak{c} . Thus, there exists $\theta_2 \in U\theta_1$ such that θ_2 stabilizes \mathfrak{h} .

Since θ_2 stabilizes \mathfrak{c} , it acts on $\Phi(I\mathfrak{b})$. Moreover, $I\mathfrak{b}^{(1)} = [I\mathfrak{b}, I\mathfrak{b}]$ and $I\mathfrak{b}^{(2)} = [I\mathfrak{b}^{(1)}, I\mathfrak{b}^{(1)}]$ are characteristic and stabilized by θ_2 . So, Lemma 6 implies that θ_2 stabilizes $\Phi(I\mathfrak{b}) \setminus \Delta(I\mathfrak{b})$ and hence $\Delta(I\mathfrak{b})$. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 14, we show that the induced permutation is actually an automorphism of the extended Dynkin diagram. Thus there exists $\theta_3 \in \Gamma \theta_2$ with the additional property that the induced permutation on $\Delta(I\mathfrak{b})$ and thus on $\Phi(I\mathfrak{b})$ are trivial. Then θ_3 acts on each $(I\mathfrak{b})_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \Delta(I\mathfrak{b})$.

Since Δ is a basis of \mathfrak{h}^* , one can find $h \in H \subset B \subset IB$ such that $\operatorname{Ad}(h) \circ \theta_3$ acts trivially on each $(I\mathfrak{b})_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$. Moreover, D acts trivially on these roots spaces and with weight 1 on $(I\mathfrak{b})_{\alpha_0}$. This yields $\theta_4 \in D\operatorname{Ad}(H)\Gamma U\operatorname{Ad}(IB)\theta$ which acts trivially on \mathfrak{h} and on each $(I\mathfrak{b})_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Delta(I\mathfrak{b})$.

Recall now that $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}/t\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ is generated by the spaces $((I\mathfrak{b})_{\alpha})_{\alpha\in\Delta(I\mathfrak{b})}$. Since θ_4 acts trivially on $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}$ and on \mathfrak{h} , it has to be trivial. As a consequence, $\theta \in \operatorname{Ad}(IB)U\Gamma\operatorname{Ad}(H)D = \Gamma D\operatorname{Ad}(IB)U$, the last equality following from Lemma 17 and Corollary 11.

Recalling that $\Phi(I\mathfrak{b}) = \Phi(\overline{I\mathfrak{b}})$, the same proof applies for $\overline{I\mathfrak{b}}$ instead of $I\mathfrak{b}$, replacing \mathfrak{c} by \mathfrak{h} and skipping step from θ_1 to θ_2 .

Lemma 19. The intersections $D \cap (\operatorname{Ad}(IB) \times U)$ and $\Gamma \cap (D \ltimes (\operatorname{Ad}(IB) \times U))$ are the trivial group {Id}. Moreover, $(D \ltimes (\operatorname{Ad}(IB) \times U))$ is normal in $\operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b})$.

Proof. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $b \in B$, $f \in \mathfrak{g/n}$ and $u \in \operatorname{Hom}(I\mathfrak{b}/[I\mathfrak{b}, I\mathfrak{b}], \mathfrak{z}(I\mathfrak{b}))$ such that the associated elements $\delta_{\tau} \in D$, $(b, f) \in IB$ and $\bar{u} \in U$ (see Section 3) satisfy $\delta_{\tau} = \operatorname{Ad}(b, f) \circ \bar{u}$. For $x \in \mathfrak{b}$, we have

$$x = \delta_{\tau}(x) = (\operatorname{Ad}(b, f) \circ \bar{u})(x) = \operatorname{Ad}(b, f)(x + u(x)) = b \cdot x + (b \cdot u(x) + tb \cdot ([f, x] + \mathfrak{n})).$$

In particular, $b \cdot x = x$ and, whenever $x \in \mathfrak{n}$, $b \cdot [f, x] = 0$ in $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}$. So $b \in B$ centralizes \mathfrak{b} and $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} f$ normalizes \mathfrak{n} . As a consequence, $b = 1_B$, f is 0 in $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}$ and u = 0. Thus the only element of $D \cap (\mathrm{Ad}(IB) \times U)$ is the trivial one.

Since $[I\mathfrak{b}, I\mathfrak{b}]$ is characteristic in $I\mathfrak{b}$, we have a natural group morphism $p : \operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b}) \to \operatorname{Aut}(I\mathfrak{b}/[I\mathfrak{b}, I\mathfrak{b}])$. From the description of $[I\mathfrak{b}, I\mathfrak{b}]$ in Lemma 5, it is straightforward that D, $\operatorname{Ad}(IB)$ and U are included in $\operatorname{Ker}(p)$ while $p_{|\Gamma}$ is injective. From Lemma 18, we then deduce that $D \ltimes (\operatorname{Ad}(IB) \times U) = \operatorname{Ker}(p)$ and the desired properties follow. \Box

References

- [1] Bar-Natan, D., van der Veen, R., 2020. An Unexpected Cyclic Symmetry of $I\mathfrak{u}_n$. arXiv:2002.00697, 1–9.
- [2] Bourbaki, N., 1975. Éléments de mathématique. Fasc. XXXVIII: Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitre VII: Sous-algèbres de Cartan, éléments réguliers. Chapitre VIII: Algèbres de Lie semi-simples déployées. Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. 1364. Hermann, Paris.
- [3] Feigin, E., 2011. Degenerate flag varieties and the median Genocchi numbers. Math. Res. Lett. 18, 1163-1178. URL: https://doi.org/10.4310/MRL.2011.v18.n6.a8, doi:10. 4310/MRL.2011.v18.n6.a8.

- [4] Feigin, E., 2012. G^M_a degeneration of flag varieties. Selecta Math. (N.S.) 18, 513-537. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00029-011-0084-9, doi:10.1007/s00029-011-0084-9.
- Inonu, E., Wigner, E.P., 1953. On the contraction of groups and their representations. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 39, 510-524. URL: https://doi-org.docelec. univ-lyon1.fr/10.1073/pnas.39.6.510, doi:10.1073/pnas.39.6.510.
- [6] Knutson, A., Zinn-Justin, P., 2007. A scheme related to the Brauer loop model. Adv. Math. 214, 40-77. URL: https://doi-org.docelec.univ-lyon1.fr/10.1016/ j.aim.2006.09.016, doi:10.1016/j.aim.2006.09.016.
- [7] Kumar, S., 2002. Kac-Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory. volume 204 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0105-2, doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-0105-2.
- [8] Nappi, C.R., Witten, E., 1993. Wess-Zumino-Witten model based on a nonsemisimple group. Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 3751-3753. URL: https://doi-org.docelec.univ-lyon1. fr/10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.3751, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.3751.
- [9] Panyushev, D.I., Yakimova, O.S., 2012. A remarkable contraction of semisimple Lie algebras. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 62, 2053-2068. URL: https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.2742, doi:10.5802/aif.2742.
- [10] Panyushev, D.I., Yakimova, O.S., 2013. Parabolic contractions of semisimple Lie algebras and their invariants. Selecta Math. (N.S.) 19, 699-717. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00029-013-0122-x, doi:10.1007/s00029-013-0122-x.
- Phommady, K., 2020. Semi-invariants symétriques de contractions paraboliques. PhD thesis arXiv.2007.14185. URL: https://www.theses.fr/2020LYSES047.
- [12] Tauvel, P., Yu, R.W.T., 2005. Lie Algebras and Algebraic Groups. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/ b139060, doi:10.1007/b139060.
- [13] Yakimova, O., 2014. One-parameter contractions of Lie-Poisson brackets. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 16, 387-407. URL: https://doi-org.docelec.univ-lyon1.fr/ 10.4171/JEMS/436, doi:10.4171/JEMS/436.

- 🛇 -